

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/748,128	12/24/2003	John C. Reed	66821-0058	1734
41552 75	08/24/2006		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			AUDET, MAURY A	
4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE, SUITE SAN DIEGO, CA 92122		115 700	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/748,128	REED ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maury Audet	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	01 June 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1 and 8-68</u> is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction is	re withdrawn from considerati	on.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 December 200</u>	_	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection	• , ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call to be the call th						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/01/2005. 	48) Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/748,128 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-5 and 28-33, as drawn to the elected core structure of TPI 927, in the reply filed on 06/01/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not be an undue burden to also search Group II, claims 6-7, drawn to a complex of IAP bound to the elected core structure TPI 927. Applicant's argument is found persuasive, and Group II, claims 6-7 are hereby rejoined.

Claims 1 and 8-68 are withdrawn as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Additionally, the quote core structures of Figure 6, number 25 (proline), 73 (proline), 86 (aCIZ-Lys(eBoc)), and 88 ((aCBZ-Om(dBoc); are withdrawn as not containing the same core with the formula for TPI 927 (top of Figures 6 and 9). Claims 2-7 are examined on the merits as drawn to the elected core structure TPI 927.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- 1) Drawing Figures 6 and 9 and thus specification pages 5-6 are either not consistent or are duplicative internally or therebetween. See e.g. Figure 6, wherein the same #'s 91 and 103, is given to two structurally distinct R2 groups.
- 2) Additionally, as noted above, compound #'s 25, 73, 86, and 88 do not contain a substantial core with the TPI 927 formula at the top of Figures 6 and 9. It is suggested that these be put into their own separate Figure, distinct from the core compounds of TPI 927. They

Art Unit: 1654

constitute a separate and distinct search, which is not coextensive with identified formula of elected TPI 927.

3) Also, under R1-R3 respectively, the structures are not in chronological order, which would help in accessing the Figure's more readily and it is suggested that Applicant apply a chronological order (while removing duplicates in each Figure).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 2-7 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims have not been amended to be commensurate in scope with the elected invention, namely core structures of TPI 927 and complexes if IAP bound thereto. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 2-7 are objected to because of the following informalities: the term IAP in claims 2 and 6-7 and XIAP in claims 3-4 and 7 are not initially identified by their full name.

Additionally, it is not known what all compounds stem from said IAP or XIAP, and Applicant is requested to expressly these, if there are a reasonable number (e.g. under 10, unless clearly defined in the specification, to which Applicant should so indicate as well). Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Nefzi et al. (Tetrahedron Letters (2000), 41(29), 5441-5446).

Nefzi et al. teach an efficient two-step synthesis of mono-, di-, and triureas from resinbound amides, which bear very close (if not express) limitations with the core structure options to that of elected TPI 927.

If not intrinsic therein, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to arrive at one or more of the TPI 927 core structures in Nefzi et al., because Nefzi et al. advantageously teach compounds bearing at least the core formula of TPI 927 (if not some of the R1-R3 alternatives thereto).

From the teachings of the reference, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the reference, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

[The applied reference has common inventors (Nefzi and Houghten) and with the instant application. However, based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it

Application/Control Number: 10/748,128 Page 5

Art Unit: 1654

constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(b); therefore the options under other sections of 102 are

not deemed available.]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 2nd

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Namely, the claims are drawn to core structures of TPI 927 (in e.g. Figure 9). However, as indicated above, structure #'s 25, 73, 86 and 88 are not core to the formula of TPI

927. Therefore, the Figures and claims are indefinite as to what is structures actually fall within

this core structure of TPI 927. As noted above, it is suggested that Applicant create a separate

Figure to include structure #'s 25, 73, 86, and 88. Additionally (or alternatively), Applicant is

required to expressly claim the core formula of TPI 927 and expressly claim the different R1-R3

groups which may stem therefrom. The compounds are not so numerous or large as to pose a

burden on Applicant to expressly claim the same, and reference to Figures or lexigraphy type

name (TPI 927) for actual structures are not to be used for the sake of convenience where such

may be expressly and definitely claimed for clarity.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,128 Page 6

Art Unit: 1654

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maury Audet whose telephone number is 571-272-0960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecelia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA, 08/19/7/00/6

PATENT EXAMINER

PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1654